arXiv:math/9507209v1 [math.LO] 5 Jul 1995

ADDING A LOT OF COHEN REALS BY ADDING A FEW

Moti Gitik

School of Mathematical Sciences
Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

A basic fact about Cohen reals is that adding λ Cohen reals cannot produce more than λ of Cohen reals. More precisely, if $\langle r_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ are λ-Cohen generic reals over V, then in $V[\langle r_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle]$ there is no λ^+-Cohen generic real over V.

But if instead of dealing with one universe V we consider two, then the above may no longer be true.

The purpose of this paper is to produce models $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ such that adding κ-many Cohen reals to V_2 adds λ-Cohen to V_1, for some $\kappa < \lambda$. We deal mainly with the case when V_1, V_2 have same cardinals and satisfy GCH.

Let us state the principal results:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that* V *satisfies GCH,* $\kappa = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \kappa_n$ *and* $\bigcup_{n<\omega} o(\kappa_n) = \kappa$ *(where* $o(\kappa_n)$ *is the Mitchell order of* κ_n*). Then there exists a cardinal preserving generic extension* V_1 *of* V *satisfying GCH and having the same reals as* V *does, so that adding* κ*-many Cohen reals over* V_1 *produces* κ^+*-many Cohen reals over* V*.*

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that* $V \models GCH$*. Then there exists a cofinality preserving extension* $V_1 \models GCH$ *so that adding a Cohen real to* V_1 *produces* $\aleph_1$*-Cohen reals over* V*.*

Theorem 2.2. *There is a pair* (W, W_1) *of a generic cofinality preserving extensions of* L *such that* $W \subseteq W_1$ *and* W_1 *contains a perfect set of* W*-reals which is not in* W*.*

It is a slight improvement of a result from Velickovic-Woodin [Ve-Wo] since there $\aleph_2$ was collapsed.

Some large cardinal assumptions are needed for 1.1. Since, if every countable subset of κ of V_1 can be covered by a countable set of V and V, V_1 have same reals then it is impossible to produce κ^+-Cohen reals over V by adding κ-Cohen reals over V_1.

In Section 1, we use the B. Velickovic and H. Woodin [Ve-Wo] idea of adding a club avoiding ordinals which have countable cofinality in V.

The present work was inspired by a work by Velickovic-Woodin [Ve-Wo] and a question by Fremlin [Fr]. We are grateful to B. Velickovic for discussions on the subject.

1. Models with the same reals

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that V satisfies GCH, $\kappa = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \kappa_n$ and $\bigcup_{n<\omega} o(\kappa_n) = \kappa$ ($o(\kappa_n)$ is the Mitchell order of κ_n). Then there exists a cardinal preserving generic extension V_1 of V satisfying GCH and having the same reals as V does so that adding κ-many Cohen reals over V_1 produces κ^+ many Cohen reals over V.*

Proof: Rearranging the sequence $\langle \kappa_n | n < \omega \rangle$, we can assume that $o(\kappa_{n+1}) \geq \kappa_n^+$. First let us change the cofinality of κ_{n+1} to κ_n^+ for every $n, 0 < n < \omega$ using the Magidor forcing [Ma]. It does not add new subsets to κ_0 and preserves GCH. The second step will be to add a club $C \subseteq \kappa^+$ such that for every $\alpha \in C$ $(cf\alpha)^V > \omega$. We use the usual forcing $\mathcal{P} = \{c | c \subseteq \kappa^+,\ |c| < \kappa^+,\ c$ is closed and c consists of ordinals α such that $(cf\alpha)^V$ is singular$\}$ ordered by end extensions.

Claim 1.1.1. *The forcing $\mathcal{P}$ over $V^{\text{Changing of Cofinalities}}$ is (κ, ∞)-distributive.*

Proof: Let $\delta < \kappa$. In order to apply the standard argument, we need to find for every regular $\delta < \kappa$ an elementary submodel N, $|N| = \delta$ such that $cf^V(\sup(N \cap \kappa^+))$ is a singular cardinal of cofinality δ. For this it is enough to show that the set of V $S^\xi_{\kappa^+} = \{\alpha < \kappa^+ | cf^V \alpha = \xi\}$ remains stationary after changing cofinalities forcing for every regular in V cardinal $\xi < \kappa$. But notice that, since $cf^V \kappa = \omega$, the changing cofinalities forcing satisfies κ^+-c.c. (it is even κ-centered). So each $S^\xi_{\kappa^+}$ remains stationary and we are done. $\square$

Notice, that by Claim 1.1.1, κ^+ remains a cardinal, since otherwise it would change the cofinality to some $\delta < \kappa$ and so $\mathcal{P}$ would add a new function from δ to κ^+ which is impossible.

Let C be a generic club of κ^+ added by C. Set $V_1 = V$ [changing cofinalities, C]. The following is obvious.

Claim 1.1.2. *For every countable in V set t and $\alpha \in C$, $t \cap \alpha$ is bounded in α.*

Fix $\langle f_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle \in V$ an increasing (mod finite) sequence of functions in $\prod\limits_{n<\omega} (\kappa^+_{n+1} \backslash \kappa_{n+1})$.

Now we force over V_1 κ Cohen reals $\langle r_i | i < \kappa \rangle$. Our aim is to construct from them another sequence $\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ which will be a sequence of κ^+-Cohen reals over V.

Let us first split $\langle r_i | i < \kappa \rangle$ into two sequences of length κ denoted by $\langle r_i | i < \kappa \rangle$ and $\langle r'_i | i < \kappa \rangle$.

Let $\alpha < \kappa^+$. We define s_α as follows

Case 1. $\alpha \in C$.

For every $n < \omega$ set

$$s_\alpha(n) = r_{f_\alpha(n)}(0) \ .$$

Case 2. $\alpha \notin C$.

Let α^*, α^{**} be two successor points of C so that $\alpha^* < \alpha < \alpha^{**}$. Let $\langle \alpha_i | i < \kappa \rangle$ be some fixed from the beginning enumeration of the interval (α^*, α^{**}). Then for some $\bar{i} < \kappa$ $\alpha = \alpha_{\bar{i}}$. We consider the Cohen real $r'_{\bar{i}}$. Let $k(\bar{i})$ be the least $k < \omega$ so that $r'_{\bar{i}}(k) = 1$. Set

$$s_\alpha(n) = r_{f_\alpha(k(\bar{i})+n)}(0)$$

for every $n < \omega$.

Claim 1.1.3. *$\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ is a sequence of κ^+ Cohen reals over V.*

Proof: Let $C(\lambda \times \omega, 2)$ denote the Cohen forcing for adding λ Cohen reals, i.e. $C(\lambda \times \omega, 2) = \{p \mid p \text{ is a finite function from } \lambda \times \omega \text{ into } 2\}$.

Suppose that $I \in V$ is a maximal antichain in $C(\kappa^+ \times \omega, 2)$. Let $t(I) = \{\alpha < \kappa^+ | \alpha$ appears in some element of $I\}$. By c.c.c. $t(I)$ is a countable subset of κ^+.

We need to show that every condition

$$\langle p, p' \rangle \in C(\kappa \times \omega, 2) \times C(\kappa \times \omega, 2)$$

can be extended to some $\langle q, q' \rangle$ forcing

$$'' \langle \underset{\sim}{s}_\alpha \ \mid \alpha \in \check{t}(I) \rangle \text{ extends some element of } \check{I}'' \ .$$

Let us assume for simplicity that $\langle p, p' \rangle = \emptyset$. Recall also that we split κ Cohen reals into two $\langle r_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ and $\langle r'_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa \rangle$.

By Claim 1.1.2, $t(I)$ can have a nonempty intersection only with finitely many intervals $[\alpha^*, \alpha^{**})$ where α^*, $\alpha^{**} \in C$ and $\alpha^{**} = \min(C \backslash (\alpha^* + 1))$. Suppose for simplicity that there are only two such intervals $[\alpha_1^*, \alpha_1^{**})$ and $[\alpha_2^*, \alpha_2^{**})$, where $\alpha_1^* < \alpha_2^*$. First of all let us deal with $s_{\alpha_1^*}$, $s_{\alpha_2^*}$ (or with names of these two reals). Recall that $s_\alpha(n) = r_{f_\alpha(n)}(0)$ for every $\alpha \in C$ and $n < \omega$. Pick some $n^* < \omega$ such that for every $n \geq n^*$ $f_{\alpha_1^*}(n) < f_{\alpha_2^*}(n)$. Now let $p \in C(\kappa \times \omega, 2)$ be a condition such that dom $p = \{(\beta, 0) \in \kappa \times \omega |$ for some $n < n^*$ $f_{\alpha_1^*}(n) = \beta$ or $f_{\alpha_2^*}(n) = \beta\}$. We define $s_{\alpha_1^*} \restriction n^*$, $s_{\alpha_2^*} \restriction n^*$ according to p. Intuitively, we decide the values of $s_{\alpha_1^*}$, $s_{\alpha_2^*}$ over n's where they may disturb one another. Now find some $b \in I$ stronger than $\langle s_{\alpha_1^*} \restriction n^*, s_{\alpha_2^*} \restriction n^* \rangle$. Let us extend p to a condition q forcing b to be satisfactory, i.e.

$$q \Vdash \langle \underset{\sim}{s}_{\alpha_1^*} \ , \underset{\sim}{s}_{\alpha_2^*} \ \rangle \text{ extends } \langle \check{b}(\alpha_1^*), \check{b}(\alpha_2^*) \rangle \ .$$

This is not problematic since for $n \geq n^*$ $f_{\alpha_1^*}(n) \neq f_{\alpha_2^*}(n)$ and also $f_{\alpha_j^*}(n) \in \kappa_{n+1}^+ \backslash \kappa_{n+1}$ $(j \in \{1, 2\})$ hence $f_{\alpha_1^*}(n) \notin \{f_{\alpha_1^*}(m), f_{\alpha_2^*}(m) | m < n\}$.

Now we need to take care of the rest coordinates of b. Let $\{\alpha_{j1}, \ldots, \alpha_{jk_j}\}$ be the increasing enumeration of the coordinates of b in the interval $(\alpha_j^*, \alpha_j^{**})$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $k_j < \omega$. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\ell < k_j$ let $\overline{i}_{j,\ell} < \kappa$ be the index of $\alpha_{j\ell}$ in the enumeration of the interval $(\alpha_j^*, \alpha_j^{**})$ used in Case 2 of the definition. Recall that $s_{\alpha_{j\ell}}(n) = r_{f_{\alpha_{j\ell}}(k(j,\ell)+n)}(0)$, where $n < \omega$ and $k(j, \ell)$ is the least $k < \omega$ such that $r'_{\overline{i}_{j,\ell}}(k) = 1$.

In order to prevent collisions let us pick $m^* < \omega$ big enough so that for every $n \geq m^*$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\ell_j, \ell'_j < k_j$, $\ell_j < \ell'_j$

$$f_{\alpha_1^*}(n) < f_{\alpha_{1\ell'_1}}(n) < f_{\alpha_2^*}(n) < f_{\alpha_{2\ell_2}}(n)$$

and

$$f_{\alpha_{j\ell_j}}(n) < f_{\alpha_{j\ell'_j}}(n) \ .$$

There is no problem in finding such m^* since there are conditions on finitely many functions only.

Now for every $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $\ell < k_j$ define the first m^* values of $r'_{\bar{i}_{j,\ell}}$ to be 0. Hence $k(j,\ell)$ will be forced to be $\geq m^*$. But then the reals $r_{f_\alpha(k(j,\ell)+n)}$ will be all different, where $\alpha \in t(I)$. Translate this into a condition in $C(\kappa \times \omega, 2) \times C(\kappa \times \omega, 2)$ and we are done.
$\square$ of the claim.

$\square$

The same result is true for random reals. The proof is similar but a little more involved, since random reals in contrast to Cohen reals may depend on ω-many coordinates instead of finitely many in the Cohen case.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that V satisfies GCH, $\kappa = \bigcup_{n<\omega} \kappa_n$ and $\bigcup_{n<\omega} o(\kappa_n) = \kappa$. Then there exists a cardinal preserving generic extension V_1 of V satisfying GCH and having the same reals as V does so that adding κ-many random reals over V_1 produces κ^+-many random reals over V.*

Sketch of the proof.

We define $\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ as in 1.1. The proof proceeds as in 1.1 up to the point of taking care of coordinates of b which differ from $\alpha_1^*, \alpha_2^*, \alpha_1^{**}, \alpha_2^{**}$. Here there may be infinitely many coordinates of b inside intervals $(\alpha_1^*, \alpha_1^{**})$ and $(\alpha_2^*, \alpha_2^{**})$. Let us analyze the situation more closely and figure out reasons for possible collisions. Let $\{\alpha_{j\ell} | \ell < k_j \leq \omega\}$ be an enumeration of coordinates of b in the interval $(\alpha_j^*, \alpha_j^{**})$ for $j = 1,2$. For $j \in \{1,2\}$ and $\ell < k_j$ let $\bar{i}_{j,\ell} < \kappa$ be the index of $\alpha_{j\ell}$ in the enumeration of the interval $(\alpha_j^*, \alpha_j^{**})$ used in Case 2 of the definition of $\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$. Recall that

$$s_{\alpha_{j\ell}}(n) = r_{f_{\alpha_{j\ell}}(k(j,\ell)+n)}(0) \ ,$$

where $n < \omega$ and $k(j,\ell)$ is the least $k < \omega$ such that $r'_{\bar{i}_{j,\ell}}(k) = 1$. For every $j_1, j_2 \in \{1,2\}$, $\ell_1 < k_{j_1}$, $\ell_2 < k_{j_2}$ and $m, n < \omega$, let

$$c(j_1, j_2, \ell_1, \ell_2, m) = \| s_{\alpha_{j_1\ell_1}}(n) \neq s_{\alpha_{j_2\ell_2}}(m) \| \ .$$

Then there are only finitely many $(j_1, j_2, \ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2)$ such that $b \leq c(j_1, j_2, \ell_1, \ell_2, n_1, n_2)$. Now as in 1.1 we pick $m^* < \omega$ large enough to insure that above it all relevant functions are different. Finally, for every $n \le m^*$ we set $r'_{\bar{i}_{j,\ell}}(n) = 0$ where (j, ℓ) appears in the finite set above.

$\square$

Let us show that some large cardinals are needed for the previous results. First the following trivial observations:

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose that* $V_1 \supseteq V$, V_1 *and* V *have the same reals and* $([\lambda]^{\le\omega})^V$ *is unbounded in* $([\lambda]^{\le\omega})^{V_1}$ *for some* λ. *Then* $([\lambda]^{\le\omega})^V = ([\lambda]^{\le\omega})^{V_1}$.

Proposition 1.3.1. *Assume that* $V_1 \supseteq V$, V_1 *and* V *have the same reals. Suppose that for some uncountable cardinal* κ *of* V_1 *adding* κ*-Cohen (random) reals to* V_1 *adds* $(\kappa^+)^{V_1}$*-Cohen (random) reals to* V, *then* $([\kappa^+]^{\le\omega_1})^V$ *is bounded in* $([\kappa^+]^{\le\omega})^{V_1}$.

Proof: Notice that $\omega_1^V = \omega_1^{V_1}$. If A is a countable subset of κ^+ in V_1 and $A^* \in V$, $A^* \supseteq A$ and $|A^*|^V = \aleph_1$, then for some $A^{**} \subseteq A^*$, $A^{**} \in V$ and A^{**} countable $A^{**} \supseteq A$. Now the claim follows by 1.3 and c.c.c. of the forcing.

$\square$

By the Covering Lemma of Dodd-Jensen, we now obtain the following

Corollary 1.3.2. *Under the assumptions of 1.3.1 and if* $\aleph_2^V = \aleph_2^{V_1}$ *then there is an inner model with a measurable cardinal.*

We do not know the exact strength.

Question. Is $\bigcup\limits_{n<\omega} o(\kappa_n) = \kappa$ really needed for the results 1.1, 1.2?

If we relax our assumptions and allow at least cardinals $\aleph_1$ and $\aleph_2$ to collapse then no large cardinal assumptions are needed. Namely the following holds.

Theorem 1.4.1. *Suppose* V *is a model of GCH then there is a generic extension* V_1 *of* V *such that all the cardinals except* $\aleph_1$ *are preserved, GCH holds and adding of* $\aleph_\omega$*-Cohen (random) reals over* V_1 *produces* $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ *many of them over* V.

Proof: We need a club $C \subseteq \aleph_{\omega+1}$ satisfying Claim 1.1.2 of Theorem 1.1. If we have it then the rest of the poof is as in this theorem. The construction is as in Velicovich-Woodin [Ve-Wo]. First collapse $\aleph_1$ to $\aleph_0$. Then add a club C_1 to new $\aleph_1$ (former $\aleph_2$) consisting of points of cofinality $\aleph_1$ in V. Over $\aleph_2$ force a club C_2 such that every $\alpha \in C_2$ of countable cofinality has cofinality $\aleph_1$ in V. Continue in the same fashion over all $\aleph_n$'s. Finally add $C \subseteq \aleph_{\omega+1}$ as desired.

□

If one wants to keep the same reals, then just replace the collapse of $\aleph_1$ by the Namba forcing.

By the same lines but using stronger initial assumptions adding κ many reals Cohen or random may produce λ many of them for λ much larger than κ^+.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose κ is a strong cardinal, $\lambda \geq \kappa$ regular and GCH. Then there exists a cardinal preserving extension V_1 having no new reals such that adding κ many Cohen (random) reals over V_1 produces λ many of then over V.*

Proof: Using Radin forcing, add a club $C_\kappa \subseteq \kappa$ consisting of regular cardinals such that κ is still strong in $V[C_\kappa]$. Now, as in 1.1, force a club C_{κ^+} consisting of α's below κ^+ such that $(cf\alpha)^V$ is singular or equal to κ.

Then we force a club $C_{\kappa^{++}} \subseteq \kappa^{++}$. It will consist of α's so that $(cf\alpha)^V$ is singular or $cf\alpha = \kappa$ or $cf\alpha = \kappa^+$. As in 1.1 this forcing does not add new subsets to κ^+. Now we continue this iteration all the way to λ. The full support is used at limit stages. There is no problem with distributivity since we can always take elementary submodels of regular cardinalities $\geq \kappa$.

Finally we will have a club C_λ of λ consisting of ordinals $\alpha < \lambda$ such that $(cf\alpha)^V$ is singular or $cf\alpha$ is a regular cardinal $\geq \kappa$. No new subsets of κ are added by such extension. Let us denote the resulting model by V'. There was an extender of length λ over κ in V. Use it as in [Gi-Ma1,2] to blow the power of κ to λ and simultaneously to change its cofinality to $\aleph_0$. Let V_1 be such an extension. By [Gi-Ma1,2] there is a sequence $\langle \kappa_n | n < \omega \rangle$ of regular cardinals cofinal in κ and a sequence of functions $\langle f_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ in $\prod\limits_{n<\omega} (\kappa_{n+1} \backslash \kappa_n^+)$ such that $f_\alpha(n) < f_{\alpha'}(n)$ for all but finitely many n's whenever $\alpha < \alpha'$. Also if $\kappa < \tau \leq \lambda$, τ is regular, $\alpha \in C_\tau$ and $cf\alpha = \aleph_0$ (in V_1), then α is regular in V. Hence Claim 1.2 holds for C_τ.

We now construct λ-Cohen (random) reals as in Theorem 1.1 (1.2) using C_λ and

$\langle f_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle$.

Cases 2 of the definition of $\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ is now problematic since the cardinality of an interval (α^*, α^{**}) (using the notation of 1.1) may now be above κ but we have only κ many Cohen reals to play with. Let us proceed as follows in order to overcome this.

We force over V_1 κ-Cohen reals as before. But let us rearrange them as $\langle r_{n,\alpha} | \alpha < \kappa, n < \omega \rangle$, $\langle r_\eta | \eta \in [\kappa]^{<\omega} \rangle$. We define by induction on levels a tree $T \subseteq [\lambda]^{<\omega}$, its projection $\pi(T) \subseteq [\kappa]^{<\omega}$ and for $n < \omega$ and $\xi \in \mathrm{Lev}_n T$ a real s_ξ. The union of the levels of T will be λ, so $\langle s_\xi | \xi < \lambda \rangle$ will be defined.

Set $\mathrm{Lev}_0 T = \langle \ \rangle = \mathrm{Lev}_0 \pi(T)$. Define $\mathrm{Lev}_1 T = C_\lambda$ and $\mathrm{Lev}_1 \pi(T) = \{0\}$, i.e. $\pi(\langle \alpha \rangle) = \langle 0 \rangle$ for every $\alpha \in C_\lambda$. For $\alpha \in C_\lambda$ we define

$$s_\alpha(m) = r_{1, f_\xi(m)}(0)$$

for every $m < \omega$.

Suppose now that $n > 1$ and $T \restriction n$, $\pi(T \restriction n)$ are defined. Let $\eta \in T \restriction n-1$, $\alpha^*, \alpha^{**} \in \mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta)$ and $\alpha^{**} = \min(\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta) \backslash \alpha^*)$. Define $\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})$ if it is not yet defined.

Case A. $|\alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*| \leq \kappa$.

Then set $\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**}) = \alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*$ and $\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**\frown} \alpha) = \emptyset$. Define $\mathrm{Suc}_{\pi(T)}(\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})) = |\alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*|$ and $\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})^\frown i = \emptyset$ for every $\alpha \in \alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*$, and $i < |\alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*|$. Let us define s_α for $\alpha \in \alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*$. Fix some enumeration $\langle \alpha_i | i < \rho \leq \kappa \rangle$ of $\alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*$. Let $\bar{i}$ be so that $\alpha_{\bar{i}} = \alpha$. Set $s_\alpha(m) = r_{n, f_\alpha(k+m)}(0)$ for every $m < \omega$, where k is the least ℓ such that $r'_{\pi(n^\frown \alpha^{**})^\frown \bar{i}}(k) \neq 0$.

Case B. $|\alpha^{**} \backslash \alpha^*| > \kappa$ and $cf \alpha^{**} < \kappa$.

Let $\rho = cf \alpha^{**}$. Pick an increasing continuous cofinal in α^{**} sequence $\langle \alpha^{**}_\nu | \nu < \rho \rangle$ with $\alpha^{**}_0 > \alpha^*$. Set $\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**}) = \{\alpha^{**}_\nu | \nu < \rho\}$ and $\mathrm{Suc}_{\pi(T)}(\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})) = \{\nu | \nu < \rho\} = \rho$. Set $s_{\alpha^{**}_0}(m) = r_{n, f_{\alpha^{**}_\nu}}(k+m)(0)$ for every $m < \omega$ and $\nu < \rho$, where k is the least such that $r'_{\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})^\frown \nu}(k) \neq 0$.

Case C. $cf \alpha^{**} > \kappa$.

Let ρ and $\langle \alpha^{**}_\nu | \nu < \rho \rangle$ be as in Case B. Set $\mathrm{Suc}_T(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**}) = \{\alpha^{**}_\nu | \nu \in C_\rho\}$ and $\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**}) = \{0\}$. For every $\nu \in C_\rho$ and $m < \omega$ define $s_{\alpha^{**}_\nu}(m) = r_{n, f_{\alpha^{**}_\nu}}(k+m)(0)$ where k is the least such that $r'_{\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^{**})^\frown 0}(k) \neq 0$.

By the definition T is a well-founded tree. Clearly, every $\alpha < \lambda$ appears in T at some level.

Claim 1.5.1. *$\langle s_\alpha | \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ are λ-Cohen reals over V.*

Proof: Let $I, t(I)$ be as in 1.1.1. If $n_1 \neq n_2 < \omega$, then there are no collisions between $t(I) \cap \mathrm{Lev}_{n_1}(T)$ and $t(I) \cap \mathrm{Lev}_{n_2}(T)$ since different Cohen reals are used over different levels.

Suppose that for some $n < \omega$, $t(I) \cap \mathrm{Lev}_n(T)$ is infinite. Then find the least level $m \leq n$ such that for infinitely $\alpha \in \mathrm{Lev}_m(T)$ α has a successor on the level n which belongs to $t(I)$. Or in other setting, there are infinitely many $\alpha^*_k < \alpha^{**}_k$ immediate successors in the ordering of the m-th level of T such that $(\alpha^*_k, \alpha^{**}_k) \cap t(I) \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 1.1.1, $m > 1$. But then there is $\alpha \in \mathrm{Lev}_{m-1}(T)$ such that for infinitely many k's $\alpha^{**}_k \in \mathrm{Suc}_T(\alpha)$. So we are in a situation of Case A or Case B, since by Claim 1.1.1, $cf\alpha$ cannot be $> \kappa$. Let $k_1 \neq k_2$ be two such k's. Then, by the definition of π, $\pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^\frown \alpha^{**}_{k_1}) \neq \pi(\eta^\frown \alpha^\frown \alpha^{**}_{k_2})$, where $\eta \in T \restriction m-1$ is the set of predecessors of α. This implies that also over level n different r'_ν's are used to define s_α's ($\alpha \in t(I)$) for all but finitely many α's in $t(I)$. The rest of the argument is as in 1.1.2.

$\square$

If we allow many cardinals between V and V_1 to collapse, then using [Gi-Ma1, Sec. 2] one can obtain the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose that there is a strong cardinal and GCH holds. Let $\alpha < \omega_1$, then there is a model $V_1 \supseteq V$ having the same reals as V satisfying GCH below $(\aleph_\omega)^{V_1}$ such that adding $(\aleph_\omega)^{V_1}$-many Cohen reals to V_1 produces $(\aleph_{\alpha+1})^{V_1}$-many of them over V.*

The proof is straightforward using 1.5 and [Gi-Ma1]. Notice that in this model V_1 $\aleph_\omega$ is much bigger than $(\aleph_\omega)^V$. So the following is natural.

Question. Is it possible to have $V_1 \supseteq V$ so that

(a) V_1 and V have the same reals,

(b) V_1 and V have the same cardinals,

(c) adding $\aleph_\omega$-Cohen reals to V_1 adds more than $\aleph_\omega$-Cohen reals to V?

By 1.3 it requires large cardinals. A weaker version which may be consistent with just ZFC:

Question. Is it possible to have $V_1 \supseteq V$ so that (b) and (c) of the previous question hold?

2. Models with the same cofinality function but different reals

In this section we are going to show the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that* $V \models GCH$. *Then there exists a cofinality preserving extension* $V_1 \models GCH$ *so that adding a Cohen (or random) real to* V_1 *produces* $\aleph_1$ *Cohen (or random) reals over* V.

A similar construction will be used to give another proof of a result of B. Velickovic and H. Woodin related to an old open question of K. Prikry: whether R^L could contain a perfect set and not to be equal to the set of all reals.

Theorem [Ve-Wo]**.** *There is a pair* (W, W_1) *of generic extensions of* L *such that* $W \subseteq W_1$, $\aleph_1^W = \aleph_1^{W_1}$ *and* W_1 *contains a perfect set of* W*-reals but not all the reals of* W_1 *are in* W.

$\aleph_2$ is collapsed in their construction.

We will get a slightly stronger result:

Theorem 2.2. *There is a pair* (W, W_1) *of generic cofinality preserving extensions of* L *such that* $W \subseteq W_1$, W_1 *contains a perfect set of* W*-reals and not all the reals of* W_1 *are in* W.

The basic idea of the proofs will be to split ω_1 in 2.1, 2.2 into ω sets such that none of them will contain an infinite set of V. Then something like in Section 1 will be used for producing Cohen reals.

It turned out however that just not containing an infinity set of V is not enough. We will use a stronger property. As a result the forcing turns out to be more complicated.

We are going to define the forcing sufficient for proving Theorems 2.1, 2.2.

Fix a nonprincipal ultrafilter U over ω.

Definition 2.1.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_U$ be the Prikry (or in this contest Mathias) forcing with U, i.e.

$$\langle p, A\rangle \in \mathcal{P}_U \quad \text{iff} \quad p \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \ ,$$

$A \in U$ and $\sup p < \min A$. $\langle p, A\rangle \leq \langle q, B\rangle$ iff q is an end extension of $p, q\backslash p \subseteq A$ and $B \subseteq A$.

Define also $\langle p, A\rangle \leq^* \langle q, B\rangle$ iff $p = q$ and $A \supseteq B$. We call $\leq^*$ a direct or $*$-extension.

The following are the basic facts on this forcing that will be used further.

Fact 1. The generic object for $\mathcal{P}_U$ is generated by a real.

Fact 2. $\langle \mathcal{P}, \leq \rangle$ satisfy c.c.c.

Fact 3. If $\langle p, A\rangle \in \mathcal{P}_U$ and b is a finite subset of $\omega \backslash \max p$, then a $*$-extension $\langle p, A\backslash b\rangle$ of $\langle p, A\rangle$ forces the generic real to be disjoint to b.

Now let us turn to the definition of forcing that is going to split (or color) ω_1 into ω pieces (or colors) without infinite homogeneous set in V.

Definition 2.1.2.

$p = \langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p}_1\rangle \in \mathcal{P}$ iff

(1) $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}_U$

(2) $\underset{\sim}{p}_1$ is a $\mathcal{P}_U$ name of a coloring of some ordinal $\alpha < \omega_1$ into ω colors such that the following holds:

  (2a) for every $\beta < \alpha$ $\underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta)$ is a partial function from $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ into ω and if $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 < \alpha$ then $rng(\underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta_1)) \cap rng(\underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta_2))$ is finite.

  (2b) (*) for every countable $I \subseteq \alpha$, $I \in V$, $p_0' \geq p_0$ and a finite $J \subseteq \omega$ there exists a finite $a(I, J, p_0') \subseteq \alpha$ such that for every finite $b \subseteq I\backslash a(I, J, p_0')$ there is $p_0'' \geq^* p_0'$ $p_0'' \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}_U} \big(\forall k \in J \ \forall \beta \in b(\underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta) \neq k)\big)$ and $(\forall \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \in b \ \underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta_1) \neq \underset{\sim}{p}_1(\beta_2))$, i.e. p_0'' prevents any element of b from being colored by the colors in J and no two elements of b are colored by the same color.

Let us further denote α by ℓth (p) or ℓth $(\underset{\sim}{p}_1)$ (the length of $\underset{\sim}{p}_1$). Notice that such α is not a $\mathcal{P}_U$-name. p_0 already decides its value. Let us also denote by $\langle \underset{\sim}{I}_{p,n} \mid n < \omega\rangle$ the splitting of α induced by the coloring $\underset{\sim}{p}_1$.

The condition (2b)($*$) appears technical but it will be crucial for producing numerous Cohen reals.

Definition 2.1.3. Let $p = \langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle$, $q = \langle q_0, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}$. Define $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle \leq \langle q_0, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ iff $p_0 \leq q_0$, $\ell th\ (p) \leq \ell th(q)$ and $q_0 \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}_U}{}''$ for every $n < \omega$, $\underset{\sim}{I}_{p,n} = \underset{\sim}{I}_{q,n} \cap \ell th(p)''$. Define also $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle \leq^* \langle q_0, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ iff $p_0 \leq^* q_0$ and $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle \leq \langle q_0, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$.

Lemma 2.1.4. *Suppose that* $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle \Vdash{}'' \underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ *is an ordinal". Then there are a* $\mathcal{P}_U$*-names* $\underset{\sim}{\beta}$ *and* $\underset{\sim}{p'_1}$ *such that* $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle \leq^* \langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p'_1} \rangle$ *and* $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p'_1} \rangle \Vdash{}'' \underset{\sim}{\alpha} = \underset{\sim}{\beta}''$.

Remark. In the situation of the usual iteration this lemma is trivial. But here we have in addition to satisfy the condition (2b)($*$) which complicates matters.

Proof: Suppose for simplicity that $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle$ is just the weakest condition, i.e. $\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \emptyset \rangle$. Let $\langle N_n | n < \omega \rangle$ be an increasing sequence of countable elementary submodels of a large enough portion of the universe such that

(a) $\mathcal{P}, \underset{\sim}{\alpha} \in N_0$,

(b) $N_n \in N_{n+1}$ for every $n < \omega$.

Set $N = \bigcup\limits_{n<\omega} N_n$. Let $\delta_n = N_n \cap \omega_1$ and $\delta = \bigcup\limits_{n<\omega} \delta_n = N \cap \omega_1$.

Fix an enumeration $\langle s_n | n < \omega \rangle \in N_0$ of all increasing finite sequences of natural numbers such that $n \leq m$ implies $\max s_n \leq \max s_m$. Fix also a partition $\langle J_{\vec{n}} | \vec{n} \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \rangle \in N_0$ of $\omega \backslash \{0\}$ into ω infinite pieces.

Let us define by induction conditions $p^n = \langle p^n_0, \underset{\sim}{p^n_1} \rangle$ $(n < \omega)$. Suppose that for every $n' < n$, $\langle p^{n'}_0, \underset{\sim}{p^{n'}_1} \rangle$ is defined. Define $\langle p^n_0, \underset{\sim}{p^n_1} \rangle$. We consider s_n. Let $s_n = \langle k_{n_0}, \ldots, k_{n\ell_n} \rangle$. First define the coloring or the splitting set of the condition. Set $I_0 = \{\delta_{k_{ni}} | i < \ell_n\}$. Let us fill the intervals between $\delta_{k_{ni}}$'s. We use the colors of $J_{\langle k_{n0} \rangle}$ to color the ordinals below $\delta_{k_{n0}}$ in a one-to-one fashion, i.e. no two ordinals below $\delta_{k_{n0}}$ are colored by the same color. Suppose that some fixed function $f : J_{\langle k_{n0} \rangle} \leftrightarrow \delta_{k_{n0}}$ was used all the time for this purpose.

Then color $\delta_{k_{n1}} \backslash (\delta_{k_{n0}} + 1)$ using $J_{\langle k_{n0}, k_{n1} \rangle}$ as the set of colors and so on. Let $\langle I_i | i < \omega \rangle$ denote such defined coloring of $\delta_{k_{n\ell_n}} + 1$.

If for some $m < n$, $\langle p^m_0, \underset{\sim}{p^m_1} \rangle$ decides that $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ and s_n do not contradict p^m_0, i.e. if $p^m_0 = \langle s, A \rangle$, then s_n is an end extension of s and $s_n \backslash \max s \subseteq A$. Then we define $\underset{\sim}{p^n_1} = \underset{\sim}{p^m_1}$

and $p_0^n = \langle s_n, A\backslash \max s_n\rangle$.

Suppose otherwise that there is no $m < n$ such that $\langle p_0^m, \underset{\sim}{p}_1^m\rangle$ decides $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ and s_n do not contradict p_0^m.

Consider $t_n = \langle\langle s_n, \omega\backslash \max s_n\rangle,\ \langle I_i | i < \omega\rangle\rangle$. It is clearly a condition in $\mathcal{P}$ since I_0 is finite and each I_i contains at most one element. Also $t_n \in N_{\ell_n+1}$. If there is no $*$-extension of t_n deciding the value of $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ then let $p^n = \langle p_0^n, \underset{\sim}{p}_1^n\rangle = t_n$. Suppose otherwise. Then we choose inside N_{ℓ_n+1} a $*$-extension t'_n of t_n deciding $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$. Notice that $\ell th(t'_n)$ is countable ordinal and that it is in N_{ℓ_n+1}. So $\ell th(t'_n) < \delta_{\ell_n+1}$.

We now want to extend $t'_n = \langle t'_{n0}, \underset{\sim}{t}_{n1}\rangle$ to a condition t''_n of the length δ. The simplest approach would be to use colors of J_{s_n} and color ordinals in $\delta\backslash\ell th(t'_n)$ in a one-to-one fashion. But this may contradict 2.1.2 ((2b)($*$)). So we need to be more careful. Consider $\{rng\, \underset{\sim}{t}_{n1}(\beta) | \beta < \ell th t'_n\}$ as a collection of $\aleph_0$ almost disjoint subsets of ω by 2.1.2(2a). Let $C \subseteq \omega$ be an infinite set almost disjoint to all the sets in this collection.

Split C into $\aleph_0$ disjoint infinite sets $\langle C_i | i < \omega\rangle$. Let $\langle c_{ij} | j < \omega\rangle$ be an increasing enumeration of C_i ($i < \omega$). Let $\langle \alpha_i | 0 < i < \omega\rangle$ be an enumeration of $\delta\backslash\ell th t'_n$. We now define a $\mathcal{P}_U$-name of the color of α_i ($i < \omega$) to be $\{\langle\langle s_n^\frown < r_1, \dots, r_i\rangle, \omega\backslash r_i\rangle, c_{ir_i}\rangle | \langle r_1, \dots, r_i\rangle \in [\omega]^i,\ r_1 > \max s_n\}$, i.e. the color of α_i will be c_{ir_i}, where r_i is the $\ell_n + i$-th element of the $\mathcal{P}_U$-generic sequence.

Let t''_n be obtained from t'_n by adding these names to $\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1}$.

Claim 2.1.4.0. *t''_n is a condition in $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof: We need to check 2.1.2 ((2b)($*$)). So, let $I \subseteq \delta$, $I \in V$ $p \geq t''_{n0}$ and a finite $J \subseteq \omega$ be given. First let us apply (2b)($*$) to $\langle p, \underset{\sim}{t}'_n\rangle, J$ and $I \cap \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$. There will be a finite $a' \subseteq \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$ such that for every finite $b \subseteq I\backslash a'$ there is $p' \geq^* p$ such that $p' \Vdash_{\mathcal{P}_U} (\forall k \in J\ \forall\beta \in b\ (\underset{\sim}{t}_{n1}(\beta) \neq k))$ and $(\forall \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \in b\ \underset{\sim}{t}_{n1}(\beta_1) \neq \underset{\sim}{t}_{n1}(\beta_2))$.

Now we like to extend a' to some a in order to take care of the ordinals between $\ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$ and δ. Let $p = \langle \vec{s}, A\rangle$ and $\vec{s} = s_n^\frown\langle r_1, \dots, r_m\rangle$. Then the colors of each of the ordinals $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are decided by p and they are $c_{1r_1}, \dots, c_{mr_m}$. But it is important that nothing is decided about the colors of the α's in $(\delta\backslash\ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1}))\backslash\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Set $a = a' \cup \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Let $b \subseteq I\backslash a$ be finite. First pick $p' \geq^* p$ witnessing (2b)($*$) for

$b \cap \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$. Let $p' = \langle \vec{s}, B \rangle$. Consider the sets of possible colors $\underset{\sim}{t}''_{n1}(\alpha)$ for α's in b. These are finitely many almost disjoint sets such that every $\underset{\sim}{t}''_{n1}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in b \backslash \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$ is infinite. Hence there is $k_0 < \omega$ such that $\underset{\sim}{t}''_{n1}(\alpha) \backslash k_0$ is disjoint to every $\underset{\sim}{t}''_{n1}(\beta)$ where $\alpha \neq \beta \in b$, $\alpha \in b \backslash \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$. Consider $p'' = \langle \vec{s}, B \backslash (1 + k_0 + \max J) \rangle$. We claim that p'' is as desired. Let $\alpha \in b \backslash \ell th(\underset{\sim}{t}'_{n1})$. Find $i < \omega$ such that $\alpha = \alpha_i$. By the definition of the set of possible colors for α_i, all of them are above $\min(B \backslash (k_0 + \max J))$, since $c_{ir} \geq r$ for every $r < \omega$. But this implies what we need.

$\square$ of the claim.

This complete the inductive definition of $\langle p^n | n < \omega \rangle$.

Let us now combine all p^n's into $\mathcal{P}_U$-name $\underset{\sim}{q_1}$. Set $\underset{\sim}{q_1} = \{ \langle p_0^n, \underset{\sim}{p}_1^n \rangle | n < \omega \}$.

Claim 2.1.4.1. *$\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ is a condition in $\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof: Let us check that $\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ satisfies Definition 2.1.2. The condition (1) of 2.1.2 is trivial.

Let us show that $\langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle$ forces $\ell th(\underset{\sim}{q_1}) = \delta$, i.e. we need to show that $\langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle$ forces every $\tau < \delta$ to be colored but nothing is colored above δ. Let $\langle s, A \rangle \geq \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega, \rangle$ and $\tau < \delta$. Pick $k < \omega$ so that $\delta_k > \tau$. Find $n < \omega$ such that s_n is an end extension of s of length $> k$ and $s_n \backslash s \subseteq A$. Then $\langle s_n, A \backslash \max s_n \rangle \geq \langle s, A \rangle$ and by the construction of p^n it forces τ to be colored. Suppose now that $\tau \geq \delta$. In $V^{\mathcal{P}_U}$, $\underset{\sim}{q_1}$ is interpreted as a coloring of ordinals less than δ only, by its construction. So we are completely free about the color of τ.

Let us now check the condition 2.1.2 ((2b)(∗)). So, suppose that $I \subseteq \delta$, $I \in V$, $p'_0 = \langle \vec{s}, A \rangle \geq \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle$ and a finite $J \subseteq \omega$ are given. If for some $B \subseteq \omega$ $\langle \langle \vec{s}, B \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ decides $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ then we are exactly in the situation of Claim 2.1.4.0. So suppose otherwise. But then nothing nontrivial was done at stage n with $s_n = \vec{s}$. So the set $a = \{ \delta_{k_{ni}} | i < \ell_n \}$ will work, where $s_n = \langle k_{n0}, \ldots, k_{n\ell_n} \rangle$.

$\square$ of the claim.

So $\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle \in \mathcal{P}$. Let us show that it is as desired. We need to define a $\mathcal{P}_U$-name $\underset{\sim}{\beta}$ such that $\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle \Vdash \underset{\sim}{\alpha} = \underset{\sim}{\beta}$. Set $\underset{\sim}{\beta} = \{ \langle p_0^n, \check{\gamma}_n \rangle | n < \omega, \langle p_0^n, \underset{\sim}{p}_1^n \rangle \Vdash \underset{\sim}{\alpha} = \check{\gamma}_n \}$.

Let $\langle r_0, \underset{\sim}{r_1} \rangle$ be an extension of $\langle \langle \langle\ \rangle, \omega \rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1} \rangle$ forcing $''\underset{\sim}{\alpha} = \check{\gamma}''$ for some ordinal γ. Consider r_0. Let $r_0 = \langle s, A \rangle$. Then for some $n < \omega$, $s = s_n$. Hence r_0 is compatible with p_0^n.

Then by the construction, a $*$-extension t'_n of t_n deciding the value of $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ to be some γ_n was picked and $r_0 \Vdash'' \underset{\sim}{r_1}$ is an end extension of $t^{\prime\,\prime\prime}_{n1}$. Hence $\gamma_n = \gamma$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

$\square$

Lemma 2.1.5. *Let* $\underset{\sim}{f}$ *be a* $\mathcal{P}$*-name of a function from* ω *into ordinals. Then there exist* $\mathcal{P}_U$*-names* $\underset{\sim}{g}$ *and* q_1 *such that*

$$\langle\langle\ \rangle, \omega\rangle, \underset{\sim}{q_1}\rangle \Vdash \underset{\sim}{g} = \underset{\sim}{f}\ .$$

Proof: Let $\langle N_n | n < \omega\rangle$ be an increasing sequence of countable elementary submodels of a large enough portion of the universe such that

(a) $\mathcal{P}, \underset{\sim}{f} \in N_0$

(b) $N_n \in N_{n+1}$ for every $n < \omega$.

Let $N = \bigcup\limits_{n<\omega} N_n$, $\delta_n = N_n \cap \omega_1$ and $\delta = \bigcup\limits_{n<\omega} \delta_n = N \cap \omega_1$. Let $\langle s_n | n < \omega\rangle$ and $\langle J_{\vec{n}} | \vec{n} \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\rangle$ be as in Lemma 2.1.4.

We define by induction a sequence of conditions $p^n = \langle p^n_0, \underset{\sim}{p^n_1}\ \rangle$ $(n < \omega)$. Suppose that for every $n' < n$ $\langle p^{n'}_0, \underset{\sim}{p^{n'}_1}\ \rangle$ is defined. Define $\langle p^n_0, p^n_1\rangle$. We consider s_n. Let $s_n = \langle k_{n0}, \dots, k_{n\ell_n}\rangle$. Find $m < n$ such that $s_m = \langle k_{n0}, \dots, k_{n,\ell_n-1}\rangle$. Assume as an inductive assumption that $p^m \in N_{k_n\ell_{n-1}+1}, \ell th(p^m) \geq \delta_{k_{n\ell_n}-1}$ and for every $i < \ell_n$, p^m decides the value of $\underset{\sim}{f}(i)$.

Pick an infinite set $C_{s_m} \subseteq \omega$ which is almost disjoint with each set of possible colors $\underset{\sim}{p^m_1}$ (β) for $\beta < \ell th(p^m)$. Split C_{s_m} into ω disjoint infinite sets $\langle C_{s_m,\vec{n}} | \vec{n} \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $\vec{n}$ is an end extension of $s_m\rangle$. At stage n we are going to use C_{s_m,s_n}. Split this set again into $\aleph_0$ disjoint infinite sets $\langle C_i | i < \omega\rangle$. Let $\langle c_{ij} | j < \omega\rangle$ be an increasing enumeration of C_i $(i < \omega)$. Let $\langle \alpha_i | 0 < i < \omega\rangle$ be an enumeration of $\delta_{k_{n\ell_n}} \backslash \ell th(p^m)$. Define a $\mathcal{P}_U$-name of the color of α_i $(i < \omega)$ to be $\{\langle s_n^\frown < r_1, \dots, r_i\rangle, \omega\backslash r_i\rangle, c_{ir_i} | \langle r_1, \dots, r_i\rangle \in [\omega]^i, r_1 > \max s_n\}$. So $\{c_{ij} | j < \omega\}$ will be the set of possible colors of α_i and if r_i is $\ell_n + i$-th element of the $\mathcal{P}_U$-generic sequence then the color of α_i will be c_{ir_i}. Color $\delta_{k_n\ell_n}$ into 0 color. Let $\underset{\sim}{p^{n'}}$ be obtained from $\underset{\sim}{p^m}$ by doing this additional coloring. Assuming C and all the portions and enumerations used are in $N_{k_{n\ell_n}+1}$, we obtain that $\underset{\sim}{p^{n'}} \in N_{k_{n\ell_n}+1}$. Repeating Claim

2.1.4.1, one can show that $\langle s_n, \omega\backslash \max s_n, \underset{\sim}{p}^{n'}\rangle$ is a condition in $\mathcal{P}$. Now apply Lemma 2.1.4 inside $N_{k_{n\ell_n}+1}$ to this condition in order to decide $\underset{\sim}{f}(\ell_n)$. Let $p^n_0 = \langle s_n, \omega - \max s_n\rangle$ and $\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1$ be such a condition as produced by Lemma 2.1.4.

This completes the inductive definition.

Now define $\underset{\sim}{q}_1 = \{\langle p^n_0, \underset{\sim}{p}^n_1\rangle | n < \omega\}$. It is enough to show that $\langle\langle\langle\ \rangle, \omega\rangle, \underset{\sim}{q}_1\rangle \in \mathcal{P}$.

As in Claim 2.1.4.1, $\ell th(\underset{\sim}{q}_1) = \delta$. So let us check (2b)(*) of 2.1.2. Suppose $I \subseteq \delta$, $I \in V$, $p'_0 \geq \langle\langle\ \rangle, \omega\rangle$ and $J \subseteq \omega$ are given. Without loss of generality $I = \delta$. Let $p'_0 = \langle s, A\rangle$ and $s = \langle k_0, \ldots, k_\ell\rangle$. For some $n < \omega$, $s = s_n$. Consider first $\langle\langle k_0, \ldots, k_\ell\rangle, \omega\backslash k_\ell\rangle, \underset{\sim}{p}^n_1\rangle$. It is a condition in $\mathcal{P}$ of the length $< \delta_{k_\ell+1}$. Let $a' \subseteq \ell th(\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1)$ be the set witnessing (2b)(*) for this condition. Set $a = a' \cup \{\delta_{k_i} | i \leq \ell\}$. Suppose that $b \subseteq \delta\backslash a$ is finite. Consider the set C_{s_n} which was used in the definition of p_n (or $\underset{\sim}{q}_1$) and which is almost disjoint to each set of possible colors $\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1(\beta)$ for $\beta < \ell th(p^n)$. It was split into $\langle C_{s_n,\vec{n}} | \vec{n} \in [\omega]^{<\omega}, \vec{n}$ is an end extension of $s_n\rangle$.

Find m_0, $\max s_n < m_0 < \omega$ such that for every finite end extension $\vec{n}$ of s_n with $m_0 \leq \max\vec{n}$ $C_{s_n\vec{n}}$ is disjoint to J and to $\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1(\beta)$ for $\beta \in b \cap \ell th(\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1)$. Let $m_1 > m_0$ be such that $\delta_{m_1} > \max b$. Let $\langle s, A'\rangle$ be witnessing (2b)(*) for $b \cap \ell th(\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1)$. Set $\widetilde{A} = A'\backslash m_1$ and $p''_0 = \langle s, \widetilde{A}\rangle$. Then $p''_0 \underset{\mathcal{P}_U}{\Vdash} (\forall k \in J\ \forall\beta \in b\ (\underset{\sim}{q}_1(\beta) \neq k))$ and $(\forall\beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \in b\ \underset{\sim}{q}_1(\beta_1) \neq \underset{\sim}{q}_1(\beta_2))$.

The first part is clear since the possible colors of the ordinals above $\ell th(p^n)$ and below $\max b + 1$ are disjoint to J. For the second notice that $\max b + 1$ is forced to be below the next δ_k where the value of $\underset{\sim}{f}$ is decided. So ordinals in the interval $[\ell th(p^n), \max b + 1]$ are colored using a disjoint partition of $C_{s_n\vec{n}}$ for some $\vec{n}$. So the colors of the elements of $b\backslash\ell th(p^n)$ are different (or are forced to be such). By the choice of m_0, these colors are disjoint to the sets of possible colors of $\underset{\sim}{p}^n_1(\beta)$ for $\beta \in b \cap \ell th(p^n)$. So p''_0 will be as desired.

This completes the checking of (2b)(*) and hence the proof of the lemma.

$\square$

The following is now immediate.

Corollary 2.1.6. *The forcing $\langle\mathcal{P}, \leq\ \rangle$ preserves the cofinalities.*

Corollary 2.1.7. $V^{\mathcal{P}} \models$*GCH.*

Let us now turn to the proof of 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Force with $\langle \mathcal{P}, \leq \rangle$. Let $G \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be a generic set. Define $V_1 = V[G]$. Now force a Cohen real r over V_1 (let us deal with Cohen reals; the random real case is similar). We want to produce $\aleph_1$-Cohen reals over V. Fix a sequence $\langle f_i | i < \omega_1 \rangle \in V$ of almost different functions from ω to ω, i.e. for every $i \neq j$ $|\{n | f_i(n) = f_j(n)\}| < \aleph_0$.

Split r into ω-Cohen reals over V_1. Denote them by $\langle r_{n,m} | n, m < \omega \rangle$. Now define the ω_1-reals $\langle s_{n,i} | n < \omega, i < \omega_1 \rangle$ as follows:

$$s_{n,i}(k) = r_{n, f_i(k)}(0)$$

where $k < \omega$.

Let $\langle I_n | n < \omega \rangle$ be the portion of ω_1 produced by G. We spread $\langle s_{n,i} | i < \omega_1 \rangle$ inside I_n. More precisely, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$ let $t_\alpha = s_{n,i}$ if $\alpha \in I_n$ and α is the i-th element of I_n.

Claim 2.1.8. *$\langle t_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ are $\aleph_1$-Cohen generic reals over V.*

Proof: Suppose otherwise. Let $\langle \langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle, q \rangle \in \mathcal{P} \times$ Cohen $(\aleph_0 \times \aleph_0, 2)$ and $D \subseteq$ Cohen $(\aleph_1, 2)$ dense open, $D \in V$ be witnessing this.

Let $D^* \subseteq D$, $D^* \in V$ be a maximal antichain generating D. Set $I = \{\alpha < \omega_1 | \exists t \in D^* \ \alpha \in \operatorname{dom} t\}$. Let $\alpha = \sup I$. Clearly $\alpha < \omega_1$. Let us assume that $\ell th(\underset{\sim}{p_1}) \geq \alpha$ otherwise just extend it to such a condition. Now let us apply (2b)(*) of 2.1.2 to $\langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle$, I and $J = \{n | \exists m \ (n, m) \in \operatorname{dom} q\}$. Let a be a finite subset of I given by (2b)(*). For every $\nu \in a$ find unique $n(\nu)$ and $i(\nu)$ such that $\nu \in I_{n(\nu)}$ and it is the $i(\nu)$-th element of $I_{n(\nu)}$. If $n(\nu) \notin \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom} q)$ or $n(\nu) \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom} q)$ but for no $k < \omega$ $(n(\nu), f_{i(\nu)}(k)) \notin \operatorname{dom}(q)$, then q carries no information on $t_\nu = s_{n(\nu), i(\nu)}$. Suppose otherwise. Then for every $k < \omega$ with $(n(\nu), f_{i(\nu)}(k)) \in \operatorname{dom} q$ the value of $t_\nu(k)$ is decided by $q(n(\nu), f_{i(\nu)}(k))$. Let $s = \{\langle \nu, k, j \rangle | \nu \in a, k < \omega, j < 2$ and $(n(\nu), f_{i(\nu)}(k), j) \in q\}$. It is actually all the information provided by q about t_ν's with $\nu \in a$. Then $s \in$ Cohen $(\aleph_1)$.

There is $k_0 < \omega$ such that for every $k > k_0$ for every $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in a$, $f_{i(\nu_1)}(k) \neq f_{i(\nu_2)}(k)$. For every $\nu \in a$ and every $k \leq k_0$ if $\langle \nu, k, 1 \rangle \notin s$ then add $\langle \nu, k, 0 \rangle$ to s. Let s^* be a condition obtained from s this way.

The reason for defining s^* is to avoid possible collisions. Remember that $s_{n,i}(k)$ was defined to be $r_{n,f_i(k)}(0)$. Hence, if $f_{i_2}(k) = f_{i_2}(k)$ then it is necessary that $s_{n,i_1}(k) = s_{n,i_2}(k)$.

Now choose some $s^{**} \geq s^*$ such that $s^{**} \in D$ and $\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom} s^{**}) \subseteq I$. Set $b = \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom} s^{**}) \setminus a$. Using (2b) (*) of 2.1.2, find $p_0' \geq^* p_0$ forcing

$$''\big(\forall k \in J \ \forall \beta \in b \ \ (\underset{\sim}{p_1}(\beta) \neq k)\big)''$$

and

$$''\big(\forall \beta_1 \neq \beta_2 \in b \ \ (\underset{\sim}{p_1}(\beta_1) \neq \underset{\sim}{p_1}(\beta_2))\big)'' \ .$$

We extend p_0' further to a condition p_0'' deciding all the colors of elements of $a \cup b$. Now there is no problem to extend q to some q^* such that above $\langle p_0'', \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle$ in $V^{\mathcal{P}}$ $q^* \Vdash$" for every $\nu \in \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{dom} s^{**}), s^{**}(\nu)$ is a subfunction of t_ν".

So $\langle \langle p_0'', \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle, q^* \rangle \geq \langle \langle p_0, \underset{\sim}{p_1} \rangle, q \rangle$ forces D not to be a counterexample. Contradiction.

$\square$

A similar construction may be used to give another proof of a result of B. Velickovic and H. Woodin.

Theorem [Ve-Wo]**.** *There is a pair (W, W_1) of generic extensions of L such that $W \subseteq W_1$ $\aleph_1^W = \aleph_1^{W_1}$ and W_1 contains a perfect set of W-reals which is not in W.*

Namely we get a slightly stronger result:

Theorem 2.2. *There is a pair (W, W_1) of generic cofinality preserving extensions of L such that $W \subseteq W_1$ and W_1 contains a perfect set of W-reals which is not in W.*

Proof: We force over $V^{\mathcal{P}}$ a Cohen real and a perfect set of Cohen reals (or just a perfect set of Cohen reals).

Now we spread this perfect set on the set of ordinals colored by 0 and using the Cohen real as in Theorem 2.1 produce $\aleph_1$-reals indexed by the rest of colors. Denote this combined sequence by $\langle t_\alpha | \alpha < \aleph_1 \rangle$. Repeating the argument of 2.1, one can see that $\langle t_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ are forming $\aleph_1$-Cohen generic reals over V. Set $W = V[t_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1]$, $W_1 = V^{\mathcal{P} *}$ perfect set of Cohen reals.

$\square$

References

[Fn] D. Fremlin, Real-valued measurable cardinals, in Set Theory of the Reals, H. Judah, ed., Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings (1993), 151-305.

[Gi-Ma] M. Gitik and M. Magidor, The SCH revisited, in Judah-Just-Woodin, eds, Set Theory of the Continuum, MSRI publications # 26, Springer 1992, 243-279.

[Gi-Ma] M. Gitik and M. Magidor, Extender based forcings, JSL, 59 (1994), 445-460.

[Ma] M. Magidor, Changing cofinality of cardinals, Fund. Math. XCIX (1978), 61-71.

[Ve-Wo] B. Velickovic and H. Woodin, Complexity of the reals of inner models of set theory.